

Geometric quantization of time-dependent completely integrable Hamiltonian systems

E.FIORANI¹

Dipartimento di Matematica "F.Enriques", Università di Milano, 20133, Milano, Italy

G.GIACHETTA²,

Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università di Camerino, 62032 Camerino (MC), Italy

G. SARDANASHVILY³

Department of Theoretical Physics, Moscow State University, 117234 Moscow, Russia

A time-dependent completely integrable Hamiltonian system is quantized with respect to time-dependent action-angle variables near an instantly compact regular invariant manifold. Its Hamiltonian depends only on action variables, and has a time-independent countable energy spectrum.

I. INTRODUCTION

A time-dependent Hamiltonian system of m degrees of freedom is called a completely integrable system (henceforth CIS) if it admits m independent first integrals in involution. Choosing appropriate dynamic variables, one may hope to quantize a time-dependent CIS so that its quantum Hamiltonian and first integral operators possess time-independent spectra.¹ Time-dependent action-angle variables introduced below are of this type. Written relative to these variables, a Hamiltonian of a time-dependent CIS is a function only of the action coordinates. It follows that, if time-dependent action-angle coordinates hold fixed, a time-dependent CIS can be quantized just as an autonomous one, and its energy spectrum is time-independent.

In order to introduce time-dependent action-angle variables, we use the fact that a time-dependent CIS of m degrees of freedom can be extended to an autonomous one of $m + 1$ degrees of freedom where the time is regarded as a dynamic variable.^{2–4} By virtue of the classical Arnold–Liouville theorem,^{5,6} an autonomous CIS admits the action-angle coordinates around a regular connected compact invariant manifold. The problem is that invariant manifolds of a time-dependent CIS are not compact because of the time axis. Therefore, we first generalize the above mentioned theorem to noncompact invariant manifolds. Then we show that, if a regular connected invariant manifold N of a time-dependent CIS is compact at each instant, it admits an open neighbourhood in the ambient momentum phase space which is isomorphic to the product

$$W = \mathbb{R} \times T^m \times V \quad (1)$$

¹Electronic mail: fiorani@mat.unimi.it

²Electronic mail: giachetta@campus.unicam.it

³Electronic mail: sard@grav.phys.msu.su; URL: <http://webcenter.ru/~sardan/>

of the time axis $\mathbb{R}$, an m -dimensional torus T^m and an open domain $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. This product is equipped with the coordinates

$$(t, \phi^i, I_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad (2)$$

where t is the Cartesian coordinate on $\mathbb{R}$ and ϕ^i are cyclic coordinates on T^m . Written with respect to these coordinates, a Hamiltonian and first integrals of a time-dependent CIS are functions only of action coordinates I_i . The corresponding Hamilton equation on W reads

$$\dot{I}_i = 0, \quad \dot{\phi}^i = \partial^i \mathcal{H}(I_j).$$

A glance at this equation shows that, given action-angle coordinates (2), a time-dependent CIS can be seen as an autonomous CIS on the symplectic annulus

$$P = V \times T^m, \quad (3)$$

equipped with the action-angle coordinates (ϕ^i, I_i) and provided with the symplectic form

$$\Omega_P = dI_i \wedge d\phi^i. \quad (4)$$

Therefore, we can quantize a time-dependent CIS with respect to action-angle variables similarly to that of an autonomous CIS. Of course, the choice of time-dependent action-angle coordinates by no means is unique. They are changed by canonical transformations. Therefore, we employ the geometric quantization technique⁷⁻⁹ which remains equivalent under such kind transformations. At the same time, geometric quantization essentially depends on the choice of polarization.^{10,11}

Geometric quantization of an autonomous CIS has been studied with respect to polarization spanned by Hamiltonian vector fields of first integrals.¹² In fact, the Simms quantization of the harmonic oscillator⁹ is also of this type. The problem is that the associated quantum algebra includes functions which are not defined on the whole momentum phase space, and elements of the carrier space fail to be smooth sections of the quantum bundle. Indeed, written with respect to the action-angle variables, this quantum algebra consists of functions which are affine in angle coordinates.

We choose a different polarization spanned by almost-Hamiltonian vector fields ∂^k of angle variables. The associated quantum algebra $\mathcal{A}$ consists of smooth functions which are affine in action variables. Note that this quantization of the symplectic annulus P (3) is equivalent to geometric quantization of the cotangent bundle T^*T^m of the torus T^m with respect to the familiar vertical polarization. As is well-known, the vertical polarization of a cotangent bundle leads to its Schrödinger quantization. We show that $\mathcal{A}$ possesses a set of nonequivalent representations in the separable pre-Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^\infty(T^m)$ of smooth complex functions on T^m . In particular, the action operators read

$$\hat{I}_k = -i\partial_k - \lambda_k, \quad (5)$$

where λ_k are real numbers which specify different representations of $\mathcal{A}$. By virtue of the multidimensional Fourier theorem, an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^\infty(T^m)$ consists of functions

$$\psi_{(n_r)} = \exp[i(n_r \phi^r)], \quad (n_r) = (n_1, \dots, n_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m. \quad (6)$$

With respect to this basis, the action operators (5) are countable diagonal matrices

$$\hat{I}_k \psi_{(n_r)} = (n_k - \lambda_k) \psi_{(n_r)}. \quad (7)$$

Given the representation (5), any polynomial Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(I_k)$ of a CIS is uniquely quantized as a Hermitian element $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(I_k) = \mathcal{H}(\hat{I}_k)$ of the enveloping algebra $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$. It has the countable time-independent spectrum

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(I_k) \psi_{(n_r)} = E_{(n_r)} \psi_{(n_r)}, \quad E_{(n_r)} = \mathcal{H}(n_k - \lambda_k), \quad n_k \in (n_r). \quad (8)$$

Similarly, polynomial first integrals are quantized. Since $\hat{I}_k$ are diagonal, one can also quantize Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}(I_j)$ and first integrals $F(I_j)$ which are analytic functions on $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Note that, because geometric quantization is equivalent under canonical transformations, quantization of a time-dependent CIS with respect to action-angle variables induces its quantization with respect to initial variables near an invariant manifold in the ambient momentum phase space. However, its Hamiltonian need not be represented in terms of first integrals and need not belong to the quantum algebra $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ because it fails to be a scalar under time-dependent transformations. Moreover, this induced quantization can not be in general extended to the whole momentum phase space because of the topological obstructions to the existence of global action-angle coordinates.^{13,14}

For instance, one usually mentions a harmonic oscillator as the simplest CIS whose quantization in the action-angle variables looks notoriously difficult.¹⁵ However, a harmonic oscillator written relative to action-angle coordinates (ϕ, I) is located in the momentum phase space $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, but it is not the standard oscillator on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Namely, there is a monomorphism, but not an isomorphism of the Poisson algebra of smooth complex functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$ to that on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. In particular, the angle polarization on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ is not extended to $\mathbb{R}^2$. As a consequence, the quantum algebra associated to this polarization is not extended to $\mathbb{R}^2$, and so is its carrier space $\mathbb{C}^\infty(T^m)$.

In conclusion, let us remark that, since Hamiltonians depend only on action variables and possess time-independent countable spectra, quantum CISs look especially promising for holonomic quantum computation, based on driving degenerate eigenstates of a Hamiltonian over a parameter space.^{16–18} We will construct the corresponding quantum control operator.

II. CLASSICAL COMPLETELY INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS

Recall that the configuration space of time-dependent mechanics is a fiber bundle $Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ over the time axis $\mathbb{R}$ equipped with the bundle coordinates (t, q^k) , $k = 1, \dots, m$. The corresponding momentum phase space is the vertical cotangent bundle V^*Q of $Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

endowed with holonomic coordinates (t, q^k, p_k) .^{19,20} The cotangent bundle T^*Q , coordinated by $(q^\lambda, p_\lambda) = (t, q^k, p_0, p_k)$, plays a role of the homogeneous momentum phase space. It is provided with the canonical Liouville form $\Xi = p_\lambda dq^\lambda$, the symplectic form $\Omega = d\Xi$, and the corresponding Poisson bracket

$$\{f, f'\}_T = \partial^\lambda f \partial_\lambda f' - \partial_\lambda f \partial^\lambda f', \quad f, f' \in C^\infty(T^*Q). \quad (9)$$

There is the one-dimensional trivial affine bundle

$$\zeta : T^*Q \rightarrow V^*Q. \quad (10)$$

Given its global section h , one can equip T^*Q with the global bundle coordinate $r = p_0 - h$.

The fiber bundle (10) provides the vertical cotangent bundle V^*Q with the canonical Poisson structure $\{, \}_V$ such that

$$\zeta^* \{f, f'\}_V = \{\zeta^* f, \zeta^* f'\}_T, \quad \forall f, f' \in C^\infty(V^*Q), \quad (11)$$

$$\{f, f'\}_V = \partial^k f \partial_k f' - \partial_k f \partial^k f'. \quad (12)$$

Its characteristic symplectic foliation coincides with the fibration $V^*Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. However, the Poisson structure (12) fails to set any dynamic equation on the momentum phase space V^*Q because Hamiltonian vector fields

$$\vartheta_f = \partial^k f \partial_k - \partial_k f \partial^k, \quad \vartheta_f \rfloor df' = \{f, f'\}_V, \quad f, f' \in C^\infty(V^*Q),$$

of functions on V^*Q are vertical.

A Hamiltonian of time-dependent mechanics is defined as a global section

$$h : V^*Q \rightarrow T^*Q, \quad p_0 \circ h = -\mathcal{H}(t, q^j, p_j),$$

of the affine bundle ζ (10).^{19,20} It yields the pull-back Hamiltonian form

$$H = h^* \Xi = p_k dq^k - \mathcal{H} dt \quad (13)$$

on V^*Q . Then there exists a unique vector field γ_H on V^*Q such that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_H \rfloor dt &= 1, & \gamma_H \rfloor dH &= 0, \\ \gamma_H &= \partial_t + \partial^k \mathcal{H} \partial_k - \partial_k \mathcal{H} \partial^k. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Its trajectories obey the Hamilton equation

$$\dot{q}^k = \partial^k \mathcal{H}, \quad \dot{p}_k = -\partial_k \mathcal{H}. \quad (15)$$

A first integral of the Hamilton equation (15) is a smooth real function F on V^*Q whose Lie derivative

$$\mathbf{L}_{\gamma_H} F = \gamma_H \rfloor dF = \partial_t F + \{\mathcal{H}, F\}_V$$

along the vector field γ_H (14) vanishes, i.e., F is constant on trajectories of γ_H . A time-dependent Hamiltonian system (V^*Q, H) is said to be completely integrable if the Hamilton equation (15) admits m first integrals F_k which are in involution with respect to the Poisson bracket $\{, \}_V$ (12) and whose differentials dF_k are linearly independent almost everywhere, i.e., the set of points where this condition fails is nowhere dense in V^*Q . One can associate to this system an autonomous CIS on T^*Q as follows.

Let us consider the pull-back ζ^*H of the Hamiltonian form H (13) onto the cotangent bundle T^*Q . It is readily observed that

$$\mathcal{H}^* = \partial_t \rfloor (\Xi - \zeta^* h^* \Xi) = p_0 + \mathcal{H} \quad (16)$$

is a function on T^*Q . Let us regard $\mathcal{H}^*$ as a Hamiltonian of an autonomous Hamiltonian system on the symplectic manifold (T^*Q, Ω) . Its Hamiltonian vector field

$$\gamma_T = \partial_t - \partial_t \mathcal{H} \partial^0 + \partial^k \mathcal{H} \partial_k - \partial_k \mathcal{H} \partial^k \quad (17)$$

is projected onto the vector field γ_H (14) on V^*Q so that

$$\zeta^*(\mathbf{L}_{\gamma_H} f) = \{\mathcal{H}^*, \zeta^* f\}_T, \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(V^*Q).$$

An immediate consequence of this relation is the following.

Proposition 1: (i) Given a time-dependent CIS $(V^*Q, H; F_k)$ on V^*Q , the Hamiltonian system $(T^*Q; \mathcal{H}^*, \zeta^* F_k)$ on T^*Q is completely integrable. (ii) Let N be a connected regular invariant manifold of $(V^*Q, H; F_k)$. Then $h(N) \subset T^*Q$ is a connected regular invariant manifold of the autonomous CIS $(T^*Q; \mathcal{H}^*, \zeta^* F_k)$.

Hereafter, the vector field γ_H (14) is assumed to be complete. In this case, the Hamilton equation (15) admits a unique global solution through each point of the momentum phase space V^*Q , and trajectories of γ_H define a trivial bundle $V^*Q \rightarrow V_t^*Q$ over any fiber V_t^*Q of $V^*Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Without loss of generality, one can choose the fiber $i_0 : V_0^*Q \rightarrow V^*Q$ at $t = 0$. Since N is an invariant manifold, the fibration

$$\xi : V^*Q \rightarrow V_0^*Q \quad (18)$$

also yields the fibration of N onto $N_0 = N \cap V_0^*Q$ such that $N \cong \mathbb{R} \times N_0$ is a trivial bundle.

III. TIME-DEPENDENT ACTION-ANGLE COORDINATES

Let us introduce the action-angle coordinates around an invariant manifold N of a time-dependent CIS on V^*Q by use of the action-angle coordinates around the invariant manifold $h(N)$ of the autonomous CIS on T^*Q in Proposition 1. Since N and, consequently, $h(N)$ are noncompact, we first prove the following.

Proposition 2: Let M be a connected invariant manifold of an autonomous CIS $\{F_\lambda\}$, $\lambda = 1, \dots, n$, on a symplectic manifold (Z, Ω_Z) , and let the Hamiltonian vector fields of the

first integrals F_α on M be complete. Let U be a neighbourhood of M such that $\{F_\lambda\}$ have no critical points in U and the submersion $\times F_\lambda : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a trivial bundle of Lagrangian invariant manifolds over a domain $V' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then U is isomorphic to the symplectic annulus

$$W' = \mathbb{R}^{n-m} \times T^m \times V' \quad (19)$$

provided with the generalized action-angle coordinates

$$(x^1, \dots, x^{n-m}, \phi^{n-m+1}, \dots, \phi^n, I_1, \dots, I_n) \quad (20)$$

such that the symplectic form on W' reads

$$\Omega_Z = dI_a \wedge dx^a + dI_k \wedge d\phi^k,$$

and the first integrals F_λ depend only on the action coordinates (I_α) .

Proof: In accordance with the well-known theorem,^{5,21} the invariant manifold M is diffeomorphic to the product $\mathbb{R}^{n-m} \times T^m$, provided with coordinates $(y^\lambda) = (s^a, \varphi^i)$ where φ^i are linear functions of parameters s^λ along the integral curves of Hamiltonian vector fields of first integrals F_λ on M . Let (J_λ) be coordinates on V' which are values of first integrals F_λ . Since $W' \rightarrow V'$ is a trivial bundle, (y^λ, J_λ) are bundle coordinates on the annulus W' (19). It should be emphasized that, since group parameters are given up to a shift, the coordinates y^λ on W' are determined up to a shift by functions of coordinates J_λ . Written relative to these coordinates, the symplectic form Ω_Z on W' reads

$$\Omega_Z = \Omega^{\alpha\beta} dJ_\alpha \wedge dJ_\beta + \Omega_\beta^\alpha dJ_\alpha \wedge dy^\beta. \quad (21)$$

By the definition of coordinates (y^λ) , the Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_λ of first integrals take the coordinate form $\vartheta_\lambda = \vartheta_\lambda^\alpha (J_\mu) \partial_\alpha$ where

$$\vartheta_a = \partial_a + \vartheta_a^i (J_\lambda) \partial_i, \quad \vartheta_i = \vartheta_i^k (J_\lambda) \partial_k, \quad (22)$$

and they obey the relations

$$\vartheta_\lambda \lrcorner \Omega_Z = -dJ_\lambda, \quad \Omega_\beta^\alpha \vartheta_\lambda^\beta = \delta_\lambda^\alpha. \quad (23)$$

It follows that Ω_β^α is a nondegenerate matrix and $\vartheta_\lambda^\alpha = (\Omega^{-1})_\lambda^\alpha$, i.e., the matrix functions Ω_β^α depend only on coordinates J_λ . In Appendix A, we obtain the desired coordinates

$$x^a = s^a, \quad \phi^a(s^b, \varphi^i, J_\lambda), \quad I_a = J_a, \quad I_i(J_k). \quad (24)$$

Note that, if M is a compact invariant manifold, the conditions of Proposition 2 always hold.⁶

Of course, the generalized action-angle coordinates (20) by no means are unique. For instance, let $\mathcal{F}_a$, $a = 1, \dots, n - m$ be an arbitrary smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^m$. Let us consider the canonical coordinate transformation

$$x'^a = x^a, \quad \phi'^i = \phi^i + x^a \partial^i \mathcal{F}_a(I_j), \quad I'_a = I_a - \mathcal{F}(I_j), \quad I'_k = I_k. \quad (25)$$

Then $(x'^a, \phi'^k, I'_a, I'_k)$ also generalized action-angle coordinates on the symplectic annulus which differs from W' (19) in another trivialization.

Now, we apply Proposition 2 to the CISs in Proposition 1.

Proposition 3: Let N be a connected regular invariant manifold of a time-dependent CIS $(V^*Q, H; F_k)$, and let the image N_0 of its projection ξ (18) be compact. Then the invariant manifold $h(N)$ of the autonomous CIS $(T^*Q; \mathcal{H}^*, \zeta^* F_k)$ has an open neighbourhood U obeying the condition of Proposition 2.

The proof is in Appendix B. In accordance with Proposition 2, the open neighbourhood U of the invariant manifold $h(N)$ in Proposition 3 is isomorphic to the symplectic annulus

$$W' = \mathbb{R} \times T^m \times V', \quad (26)$$

provided with the generalized action-angle coordinates $(t, \phi^1, \dots, \phi^m, I_0, \dots, I_m)$ such that the symplectic form on W' reads

$$\Omega' = dI_0 \wedge dt + dI_k \wedge d\phi^k.$$

A glance at the Hamiltonian vector field $\vartheta_0 = \gamma_T$ (17) and the relation (23) - (24), shows that $I_0 = J_0 = \mathcal{H}^*$ and the corresponding generalized angle coordinate is $x^0 = t$, while the first integrals $J_k = \zeta^* F_k$ depend only on the action coordinates I_i .

Since the action coordinates I_i are independent of the coordinate J_0 , the symplectic annulus W' (26) inherits the fibration (10) which reads

$$\zeta : W' \ni (t, \phi^i, I_0, I_i) \rightarrow (t, \phi^i, I_i) \in W = \mathbb{R} \times T^m \times V.$$

By the relation similar to (11), the product W is provided with the Poisson structure

$$\{f, f'\}_W = \partial^i f \partial_i f' - \partial_i f \partial^i f', \quad f, f' \in C^\infty(W). \quad (27)$$

Therefore, one can regard W with coordinates (t, ϕ^i, I_i) as the momentum phase space of the time-dependent CIS in question around its invariant manifold N .

It is readily observed that the Hamiltonian vector field γ_T of the autonomous Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^* = I_0$ is $\gamma_T = \partial_t$, and so is its projection γ_H (14) on W . Consequently, the Hamilton equation (15) with respect to the action-angle coordinates take the form $\dot{I}_i = 0$, $\dot{\phi}^i = 0$. Hence, (t, ϕ^i, I_i) are the initial date coordinates. One can introduce such coordinates as follows. Given the fibration ξ (18), let us provide $N_0 \times V \subset V_0^*Q$ in Proposition 3 with action-angle coordinates $(\bar{\phi}^i, \bar{I}_i)$ for the CIS $\{i_0^* F_k\}$ on the symplectic leaf V_0^*Q . Then, it is

readily observed that $(t, \bar{\phi}^i, \bar{I}_i)$ are time-dependent action-angle coordinates on W (1) such that the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(\bar{I}_j)$ of a time-dependent CIS relative to these coordinates vanishes, i.e., $\mathcal{H}^* = \bar{I}_0$. Using the canonical transformations (25), one can consider time-dependent action-angle coordinates besides the initial date ones. Given a smooth function $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$, let us further provide W with the action-angle coordinates

$$\phi^i = \bar{\phi}^i + t\partial^i\mathcal{H}(\bar{I}_j), \quad I_0 = \bar{I}_0 - \mathcal{H}(\bar{I}_j), \quad I_i = \bar{I}_i$$

such that $\mathcal{H}(I_i)$ is the Hamiltonian.

Thus, action-angle coordinates for a time-dependent CIS provide a particular solution of the problem of a representation of its Hamiltonian in terms of first integrals.^{22,23} However, this representation need not hold with respect to the initial bundle coordinates on V^*Q because a Hamiltonian fails to be a scalar under time-dependent transformations.

IV. QUANTUM COMPLETELY INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS

In order to quantize a time-dependent CIS on the Poisson manifold $(W, \{, \}_W)$, one may follow the general procedure of instantwise geometric quantization of time-dependent Hamiltonian systems in Ref. 24. As was mentioned above, it however can be quantized as an autonomous CIS on the symplectic annulus (P, Ω_P) (3) with respect to fixed time-dependent action-angle coordinates.

In accordance with the standard geometric quantization procedure,^{7,8} since the symplectic form Ω_P (4) is exact, the prequantum bundle is defined as a trivial complex line bundle C over P . Since the action-angle coordinates are canonical for the symplectic form (4), the prequantum bundle C need no metaplectic correction. Let its trivialization

$$C \cong P \times \mathbb{C} \tag{28}$$

hold fixed. Any other trivialization leads to equivalent quantization of P . Given the associated bundle coordinates (ϕ^k, I_k, c) , $c \in \mathbb{C}$, on C (28), one can treat its sections as smooth complex functions on P .

The Konstant–Souriau prequantization formula associates to each smooth real function $f \in C^\infty(P)$ on P the first order differential operator

$$\hat{f} = -i\nabla_{\vartheta_f} + f \tag{29}$$

on sections of C , where $\vartheta_f = \partial^k f \partial_k - \partial_k f \partial^k$ is the Hamiltonian vector field of f and ∇ is the covariant differential with respect to a suitable $U(1)$ -principal connection on C . This connection preserves the Hermitian metric $g(c, c') = c\bar{c}'$ on C , and its curvature form obeys the prequantization condition $R = i\Omega_P$. It reads

$$A = A_0 + icI_k d\phi^k \otimes \partial_c, \tag{30}$$

where A_0 is a flat $U(1)$ -principal connection on $C \rightarrow P$. The equivalence classes of flat principal connections on C are indexed by the set $\mathbb{R}^m/\mathbb{Z}^m$ of homomorphisms of the de Rham cohomology group

$$H^1(P) = H^1(T^m) = \mathbb{R}^m$$

of P to the cycle group $U(1)$.⁹ We choose their representatives of the form

$$A_0[(\lambda_k)] = dI_k \otimes \partial^k + d\phi^k \otimes (\partial_k + i\lambda_k c \partial_c), \quad \lambda_k \in [0, 1).$$

Then the connection (30) up to gauge transformations reads

$$A[(\lambda_k)] = dI_k \otimes \partial^k + d\phi^k \otimes (\partial_k + i(I_k + \lambda_k) c \partial_c). \quad (31)$$

For the sake of simplicity, we will assume that the numbers λ_k in the expression (31) belong to $\mathbb{R}$, but will bear in mind that connections $A[(\lambda_k)]$ and $A[(\lambda'_k)]$ with $\lambda_k - \lambda'_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ are gauge conjugated. Given a connection (31), the prequantization operators (29) read

$$\hat{f} = -i\vartheta_f + (f - (I_k + \lambda_k)\partial^k f). \quad (32)$$

Let us choose the above mentioned angle polarization $V\pi$ which is the vertical tangent bundle of the fibration $\pi : P \rightarrow T^m$, and is spanned by the vectors ∂^k . It is readily observed that the corresponding quantum algebra $\mathcal{A} \subset C^\infty(P)$ consists of affine functions

$$f = a^k(\phi^j)I_k + b(\phi^j) \quad (33)$$

of action coordinates I_k . The carrier space of its representation by operators (32) is defined as the space E of sections ρ of the prequantum bundle C of compact support which obey the condition $\nabla_{\vartheta}\rho = 0$ for any Hamiltonian vector field ϑ subordinate to the distribution $V\pi$. This condition reads

$$\partial_k f \partial^k \rho = 0, \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(T^m).$$

It follows that elements of E are independent of action variables and, consequently, fail to be of compact support, unless $\rho = 0$. This well-known problem of Schrödinger geometric quantization is solved as follows.^{24,25}

Fix a slice $i_T : T^m \rightarrow T^m \times V$. Let $C_T = i_T^* C$ be the pull-back of the prequantum bundle C (28) over the torus T^m . It is a trivial complex line bundle $C_T = T^m \times \mathbb{C}$ provided with the pull-back Hermitian metric $g(c, c') = c\bar{c}'$. Its sections are smooth complex functions on T^m . Let

$$\overline{A} = i_T^* A = d\phi^k \otimes (\partial_k + i(I_k + \lambda_k) c \partial_c)$$

be the pull-back of the connection A (31) onto C_T . Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a metilinear bundle of complex half-forms on the torus T^m . It admits the canonical lift of any vector field τ on T^m , and the corresponding Lie derivative of its sections reads

$$\mathbf{L}_\tau = \tau^k \partial_k + \frac{1}{2} \partial_k \tau^k.$$

Let us consider the tensor product

$$Y = C_T \otimes \mathcal{D} \rightarrow T^m. \quad (34)$$

Since the Hamiltonian vector fields

$$\vartheta_f = a^k \partial_k - (I_r \partial_k a^r + \partial_k b) \partial^k$$

of functions f (33) are projectable onto T^m , one can associate to each element f of the quantum algebra $\mathcal{A}$ the first order differential operator

$$\hat{f} = (-i \overline{\nabla}_{\pi \vartheta_f} + f) \otimes \text{Id} + \text{Id} \otimes \mathbf{L}_{\pi \vartheta_f} = -i a^k \partial_k - \frac{i}{2} \partial_k a^k - a^k \lambda_k + b \quad (35)$$

on sections of Y . A direct computation shows that the operators (35) obey the Dirac condition

$$[\hat{f}, \hat{f}'] = -i \{f, f'\}.$$

Sections ρ_T of the quantum bundle $Y \rightarrow T^m$ (34) constitute a pre-Hilbert space E_T with respect to the nondegenerate Hermitian form

$$\langle \rho_T | \rho'_T \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^m \int_{T^m} \rho_T \overline{\rho'_T}, \quad \rho_T, \rho'_T \in E_T.$$

Then it is readily observed that $\hat{f}$ (35) are Hermitian operators in E_T . In particular, the action operators take the form (5).

Of course, the above quantization depends on the choice of a connection $A[(\lambda_k)]$ (31) and a metilinear bundle $\mathcal{D}$. The latter need not be trivial. If $\mathcal{D}$ is trivial, sections of the quantum bundle $Y \rightarrow T^m$ (34) obey the transformation rule

$$\rho_T(\phi^k + 2\pi) = \rho_T(\phi^k)$$

for all indices k . They are naturally complex smooth functions on T^m . In this case, E_T is the above mentioned pre-Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^\infty(T^m)$ of complex smooth functions on T^m whose basis consists of functions (6). The action operators $\hat{I}$ (5) with respect to this basis are represented by countable diagonal matrices (7), while functions $a(\phi)$ are decomposed into the pull-back functions $\psi_{(n_r)}$ which act on $\mathbb{C}^\infty(T^m)$ by multiplications

$$\psi_{(n_r)} \psi_{(n'_r)} = \psi_{(n_r)} \psi_{(n'_r)} = \psi_{(n_r + n'_r)}. \quad (36)$$

If $\mathcal{D}$ is a nontrivial metilinear bundle, sections of the quantum bundle $Y \rightarrow T^m$ (34) obey the transformation rule

$$\rho_T(\phi^j + 2\pi) = -\rho_T(\phi^j) \quad (37)$$

for some indices j . In this case, the orthonormal basis of the pre-Hilbert space E_T can be represented by double-valued complex functions

$$\psi_{(n_i, n_j)} = \exp[i(n_i \phi^i + (n_j + \frac{1}{2})\phi^j)] \quad (38)$$

on T^m . They are eigenvectors

$$\hat{I}_i \psi_{(n_i, n_j)} = (n_i - \lambda_i) \psi_{(n_i, n_j)}, \quad \hat{I}_j \psi_{(n_i, n_j)} = (n_j - \lambda_j + \frac{1}{2}) \psi_{(n_i, n_j)}$$

of the operators $\hat{I}_k$ (5), and the functions $a(\phi)$ act on the basis (38) by the above law (36). It follows that the representation of $\mathcal{A}$ determined by the connection $A[(\lambda_k)]$ (31) in the space of sections (37) of a nontrivial quantum bundle Y (34) is equivalent to its representation determined by the connection $A[(\lambda_i, \lambda_j - \frac{1}{2})]$ in the space $\mathbb{C}^\infty(T^m)$ of smooth complex functions on T^m .

Therefore, one can restrict the study of representations of the quantum algebra $\mathcal{A}$ to its representations in $\mathbb{C}^\infty(T^m)$ associated to different connections (31). These representations are nonequivalent, unless $\lambda_k - \lambda'_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all indices k .

Now, in order to quantize the Poisson manifold $(W, \{, \}_W)$, one can simply replace functions on T^m with those on $\mathbb{R} \times T^m$.^{7,24} Let us choose the angle polarization of W spanned by the vectors ∂^k . The corresponding quantum algebra $\mathcal{A}_W \subset C^\infty(W)$ consists of affine functions

$$f = a^k(t, \phi^j) I_k + b(t, \phi^j) \quad (39)$$

of action coordinates I_k , represented by the operators (35) in the space $\mathbb{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times T^m)$ of smooth complex functions on $\mathbb{R} \times T^m$. This space is provided with the structure of the pre-Hilbert $\mathbb{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ -module with respect to the nondegenerate $\mathbb{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ -bilinear form

$$\langle \psi | \psi' \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^m \int_{T^m} \psi \overline{\psi'}, \quad \psi, \psi' \in \mathbb{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times T^m).$$

Its basis consists of the pull-backs onto $\mathbb{R} \times T^m$ of the functions $\psi_{(n_r)}$ (6).

Since the Poisson structure (27) defines no dynamics on the momentum phase space W (1), we should quantize the homogeneous momentum phase space W' (26) in order to describe evolution of a quantum time-dependent CIS. Following the general scheme in Refs. 25,26, one can provide the relevant geometric quantization of the symplectic annulus (W', Ω') . The corresponding quantum algebra $\mathcal{A}_{W'} \subset C^\infty(W')$ consists of affine functions

$$f = a^\lambda(t, \phi^j) I_\lambda + b(t, \phi^j)$$

of action coordinates I_λ . It suffices to consider its subalgebra consisting of the elements f and $I_0 + f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{A}_W$ (39). They are represented by the operators $\hat{f}$ (35) and $I_0 = -i\partial_t$ in the pre-Hilbert module $\mathbb{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times T^m)$. If a Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(I_j)$ of the time-dependent

CIS is a polynomial (or analytic) function in action variables, the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^*$ of the associated autonomous CIS is quantized as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^* = -i\partial_t + \mathcal{H}(\widehat{I}_j).$$

Then we obtain the Schrödinger equation

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^*\psi = -i\partial_t\psi + \mathcal{H}(-i\partial_k - \lambda_k)\psi = 0, \quad \psi \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times T^m).$$

Its solutions are the series

$$\psi = \sum_{(n_r)} B_{(n_r)} \exp[-iE_{(n_r)}t] \psi_{(n_r)}, \quad B_{(n_r)} \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $E_{(n_r)}$ are the eigenvalues (8) of the Hamiltonian $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$.

In conclusion, bearing in mind applications to holonomic quantum computation, let us choose action-angle coordinates such that a Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ of a CIS is independent of action variables I_a ($a, b, c = 1, \dots, l$). Then its eigenvalues are countably degenerate. Let us consider the perturbed Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}' = \Delta(s^\mu, \phi^b, I_a) + \mathcal{H}(I_j),$$

where the perturbation term Δ depends on the action-angle coordinates with the above mentioned indices $a, b, c, \dots$ and on some time-dependent parameters $s^\mu(t)$ by the law

$$\Delta = \Lambda_\beta^a(s^\mu, \phi^b) \partial_t s^\beta I_a. \quad (40)$$

The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}'$ characterizes a CIS with time-dependent parameters.^{20,26,27} Being affine in action variables, the perturbation term Δ (40) is represented by the operator

$$\widehat{\Delta} = -(i\Lambda_\beta^a \partial_a + \frac{i}{2} \partial_a \Lambda_\beta^a + \lambda_a \Lambda_\beta^a) \partial_t s^\beta.$$

Since the operators $\widehat{\Delta}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ mutually commute, the total quantum evolution operator falls into the product

$$T \exp \left[-i \int_0^t \widehat{\mathcal{H}}' dt' \right] = T \exp \left[-i \int_0^t \widehat{\mathcal{H}} dt' \right] \circ T \exp \left[-i \int_0^t \widehat{\Delta} dt' \right].$$

The first factor in this product is the dynamic evolution operator of the quantum CIS. The second one acts in the eigenspaces of the dynamic Hamiltonian $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ and reads

$$\begin{aligned} & T \exp \left[\int_0^t \{ -\Lambda_\beta^a(\phi^b, s^\mu(t')) \partial_a - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \Lambda_\beta^a(\phi^b, s^\mu(t')) + i\lambda_a \Lambda_\beta^a(\phi^b, s^\mu(t')) \} \partial_t s^\beta dt' \right] \\ &= T \exp \left[\int_{s([0,t])} \{ -\Lambda_\beta^a(\phi^b, \sigma^\mu) \partial_a - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \Lambda_\beta^a(\phi^b, \sigma^\mu) + i\lambda_a \Lambda_\beta^a(\phi^b, \sigma^\mu) \} d\sigma^\beta \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

It is readily observed that this operator depends on the curve $s([0, 1]) \subset S$ in the parameter space S . One can treat it as an operator of parallel displacement along the curve s .^{26–28} For instance, if $s([0, 1])$ is a loop in S , the operator (41) is the geometric Berry factor, and it can be treated as a holonomy control operator.^{26,29}

APPENDIX A

In order to complete the proof of Proposition 2, let us first apply the relation (23) to the Hamiltonian vector fields ϑ_a and ϑ_i (22). We obtain

$$\Omega_b^a = \delta_b^a, \quad \vartheta_a^\lambda \Omega_\lambda^i = 0, \quad (42)$$

$$\vartheta_i^k \Omega_k^j = \delta_i^j, \quad \vartheta_i^k \Omega_k^a = 0. \quad (43)$$

The first of the equalities (43) shows that the matrix Ω_k^j is nondegenerate, and so is ϑ_i^k . Then the second one results in $\Omega_k^a = 0$.

Using the well-known Künneth formula for the de Rham cohomology of a product, one can easily justify that the closed form Ω_Z on W' (19) is exact. Moreover, $\Omega_Z = d\Xi$ where Ξ takes the form

$$\Xi = \Xi^\alpha(J_\lambda, y^\lambda) dJ_\alpha + \Xi_i(J_\lambda) d\varphi^i.$$

Of course, Ξ is determined up to an exact form. Using the fact that components of $d\Xi = \Omega_Z$ are independent of y^λ and obey the equalities (42) – (43), we obtain the following.

(i) $\Omega_i^a = -\partial_i \Xi^a + \partial^a \Xi_i = 0$. It follows that $\partial_i \Xi^a$ is independent of φ , i.e., Ξ^a is affine in φ and, consequently, is independent of φ since φ is a cyclic coordinate. Hence, $\partial^a \Xi_i = 0$, i.e., Ξ_i is a function only of coordinates J_j .

(ii) $\Omega_i^k = -\partial_i \Xi^k + \partial^k \Xi_i$. Similarly, one shows that Ξ^k is independent of φ and $\Omega_i^k = \partial^k \Xi_i$, i.e., $\partial^k \Xi_i$ is a nondegenerate matrix.

(iii) $\Omega_b^a = -\partial_b \Xi^a = \delta_b^a$. Hence, $\Xi^a = D^a(J_\lambda) + s^a$.

(iv) $\Omega_b^i = -\partial_b \Xi^i$, i.e., Ξ^i is affine in s^a .

In view of items (i) – (iv), the Liouville form Ξ reads

$$\Xi = x^a dJ_a + [D^i(J_\lambda) + B_a^i(J_\lambda) s^a] dJ_i + \Xi_i(J_j) d\varphi^i,$$

where $x^a = s^a + D^a(J_\lambda)$. Since the matrix $\partial^k \Xi_i$ is nondegenerate, one can introduce new coordinates $I_i = \Xi_i(J_j)$, $I_a = J_a$. We obtain

$$\Xi = x^a dI_a + [D^i(I_\lambda) + B_a^i(I_\lambda) s^a] dI_i + I_i d\varphi^i.$$

Finally, put

$$\phi^i = \varphi^i - [D^i(I_\lambda) + B_a^i(I_\lambda) s^a]$$

in order to obtain the desired coordinates (24).

APPENDIX B

In order to prove Proposition 3, we first show that functions $i_0^*F_k$ make up a CIS on the symplectic leaf (V_0^*Q, Ω_0) and N_0 is its invariant manifold without critical points. Clearly, the functions $i_0^*F_k$ are in involution, and N_0 is their connected invariant manifold. Let us show that the set of critical points of $\{i_0^*F_k\}$ is nowhere dense in V_0^*Q and N_0 has none of these points. Let V_0^*Q be equipped with some coordinates $(\bar{q}^k, \bar{p}_k)$. Then the trivial bundle ξ (18) is provided with the bundle coordinates $(t, \bar{q}^k, \bar{p}_k)$ which play a role of the initial data coordinates on the momentum phase space V^*Q . Written with respect to these coordinates, the first integrals F_k become time-independent. It follows that

$$dF_k(y) = di_0^*F_k(\xi(y)) \quad (44)$$

for any point $y \in V^*Q$. In particular, if $y_0 \in V_0^*Q$ is a critical point of $\{i_0^*F_k\}$, then the trajectory $\xi^{-1}(y_0)$ is a critical set for the first integrals $\{F_k\}$. The desired statement at once follows from this result.

Since N_0 is compact and regular, there is an open neighbourhood of N_0 in V_0^*Q isomorphic to $N_0 \times V$ where $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a domain, and $N_0 \times \{v\}$, $v \in V$, are also invariant manifolds in V_0^*Q .⁶ Then

$$W'' = \xi^{-1}(N_0 \times V) \cong N \times V \quad (45)$$

is an open neighbourhood in V^*Q of the invariant manifold N foliated by invariant manifolds $\xi^{-1}(N_0 \times \{v\})$, $v \in V$, of the time-dependent CIS on V^*Q . By virtue of the equality (44), the first integrals $\{F_k\}$ have no critical points in W'' . For any real number $r \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, let us consider a section

$$h_r : V^*Q \rightarrow T^*Q, \quad p_0 \circ h_r = -\mathcal{H}(t, q^j, p_j) + r,$$

of the affine bundle ζ (10). Then the images $h_r(W'')$ of W'' (45) make up an open neighbourhood U of $h(N)$ in T^*Q . Because $\zeta(U) = W''$, the pull-backs ζ^*F_k of first integrals F_k are free from critical points in U , and so is the function $\mathcal{H}^*$ (16). Since the coordinate $r = p_0 - h$ provides a trivialization of the affine bundle ζ , the open neighbourhood U of $h(N)$ is diffeomorphic to the product

$$h(W'') \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \cong h(N) \times V \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$$

which is a trivialization of the fibration

$$\mathcal{H}^* \times (\times \zeta^*F_k) : U \rightarrow V \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon).$$

It remains to prove that the Hamiltonian vector fields of $\mathcal{H}^*$ and ζ^*F_k on U are complete. It is readily observed that the Hamiltonian vector field γ_T (17) of $\mathcal{H}^*$ is tangent to the manifolds $h_r(W'')$, and is the image $\gamma_T = Th_r \circ \gamma_H \circ \zeta$ of the vector field γ_H (14). The latter is complete on W'' , and so is γ_T on U . Similarly, the Hamiltonian vector field

$$\gamma_k = -\partial_t F_k \partial^0 + \partial^i F_k \partial_i - \partial_i F_k \partial^i$$

of the function $\zeta^* F_k$ on T^*Q with respect to the Poisson bracket $\{, \}_T$ (9) is tangent to the manifolds $h_r(W'')$, and is the image $\gamma_k = Th_r \circ \vartheta_k \circ \zeta$ of the Hamiltonian vector field ϑ_k of the first integral F_k on W'' with respect to the Poisson bracket $\{, \}_V$ (12). The vector fields ϑ_k on W'' are vertical relative to the fibration $W'' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and are tangent to compact manifolds. Therefore, they are complete, and so are the vector fields γ_k on U . Thus, U is the desired open neighbourhood of the invariant manifold $h(N)$.

- ¹ H.Lewis and W.Riesenfeld, *J. Math. Phys.* **10**, 1458 (1969).
- ² A.Lichtenberg and M.Liebertmann, *Regular and Stochastic Motion* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983).
- ³ A.Dewisme and S.Bouquet, *J. Math. Phys.* **34**, 997 (1993).
- ⁴ S.Bouquet and A.Bourdier, *Phys. Rev. E* **57**, 1273 (1998).
- ⁵ V.Arnold (Ed.), *Dynamical Systems III* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988).
- ⁶ V.Lazutkin, *KAM Theory and Semiclassical Approximations to Eigenfunctions* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993).
- ⁷ J.Śniatycki, *Geometric Quantization and Quantum Mechanics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980).
- ⁸ N.Woodhouse, *Geometric Quantization* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1992).
- ⁹ A.Echeverría-Enríquez, M.Muñoz-Lecanda, N.Román-Roy and C.Victoria-Monge, *Extracta Math.* **13**, 135 (1998); E-print arXiv: math-ph/9904008.
- ¹⁰ J.Rawnsley, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **230**, 235 (1977).
- ¹¹ R.Blattner, In: *Differential Geometric Methods in Mathematical Physics (Proc. Sympos. Univ. Bonn, Bonn 1975)*, Lecture Notes in Math. **570**, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977) 11-45.
- ¹² I.Mykytiuk, A.Prykarpatsky, R.Andrushkiw and V.Samoilenko, *J. Math. Phys.* **35**, 1532 (1994).
- ¹³ J.Duistermaat, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **33**, 687 (1980).
- ¹⁴ L.Bates, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburg Sect. A* **110**, 27 (1988).
- ¹⁵ T.Hakioğlu and E.Tepedelenlioğlu, *J. Phys. A* **33**, 6357 (2000).

- ¹⁶ P.Zanardi and M.Rasetti, *Phys. Lett. A* **264**, 94 (1999).
- ¹⁷ K.Fujii, *J. Math. Phys.* **41**, 4406 (2000).
- ¹⁸ J.Pachos and P.Zanardi, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **15**, 1257 (2001).
- ¹⁹ G.Sardanashvily, *J. Math. Phys.* **39**, 2714 (1998).
- ²⁰ L.Mangiarotti and G.Sardanashvily, *Gauge Mechanics* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998).
- ²¹ V.Arnold, *Russ. Math. Surv.* **18**, No.5, 13 (1963).
- ²² R.Kaushal, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **37**, 1793 (1998).
- ²³ H.Lewis, O.Leach, S.Bouquet and M.Feix, *J. Math. Phys.* **33**, 591 (1992).
- ²⁴ G.Giachetta, L.Mangiarotti and G.Sardanashvily, *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, 56 (2002).
- ²⁵ R.Blattner, In: *Non-linear Partial Differential Operators and Quantization Procedure (Proceedings, Clausthall 1981)* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983) 209-241.
- ²⁶ G.Giachetta, L.Mangiarotti and G.Sardanashvily, *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, No.6 (2002).
- ²⁷ G.Sardanashvily, *J. Math. Phys.* **41**, 5245 (2000).
- ²⁸ B.Iliev, *J. Phys. A* **34**, 4887 (2001).
- ²⁹ G.Sardanashvily, E-print arXiv: quant-ph/0201050.